2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

HERZLICH & BLUM, LLP
Attorneys-At-Law
ENCINO GATEWAY BUILDING
15760 VENTURA BOULEVARD, SUITE 2024
ENCINO, CALIFORNIA 91436-3095
Telephone (818) 783-8991
Facsimile (818) 783-6682
Allan Herzlich State Bar #100920
Jerome J. Blum State Bar #100317

RECEIVED

OCT 14 2011

Richard W. Wicking Clerk, U.S. District Court Northern District of California San Jose

Attorneys for Lien Claimant Cardservice International, Incorporated

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

PATRICK GRANNAN, ON BEHALF OF) CASE NO. CV 10-02803-HRL HIMSELF AND ALL OTHERS SIMILARLY SITUATED, OBJECTION TO MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT, Plaintiffs, INCENTIVE PAYMENT; MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN VS. SUPPORT THEREOF ALLIANT LAW GROUP, P.C., MAGISTRATE JUDGE HON. HOWARD R. LLOYD Defendant. DATE: November 1, 2011 TIME: 10:00 A.M. DEPT: 2

TO PLAINTIFF PATRICK GRANNAN AND TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that CARDSERVICE INTERNATIONAL,
INCORPORATED, a California corporation (hereafter, "Lien
Claimant") shall, and hereby does, oppose PATRICK GRANNAN's
(hereafter, "Plaintiff/Class Representative") Motion for Final
Approval of Settlement, Incentive Payment on the grounds and
bases more specifically set forth below.

28 / / /

Said Opposition shall be based upon these opposition papers, the Memorandum of Points and Authorities attached hereto, the Court file herein, and such further evidence, oral and/or documentary, as may be presented at the Court's hearing on said Motion.

DATED: October 12, 2011

Respectfully submitted, Herzlich & Blum, LLP

By:

Jerome J. Blum Attorneys for Lien Claimant

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I.

PLAINTIFF/CLASS REPRESENTATIVE FAILED TO GIVE NOTICE OF HIS MOTION TO LIEN CLAIMANT. FURTHERMORE, SAID MOTION FAILED TO STATE THE DEADLINE TO FILE AN OBJECTION, AS REQUIRED BY LOCAL RULE 9014-1(b)(3)(A)(i).

As counsel for Plaintiff/Class Representative is aware, Lien Claimant has filed a lien in the instant action against Plaintiff/Class Representative PATRICK GRANNAN, as well as an Objection to the proposed Settlement with the Clerk of the Court (please see, "Declaration of Douglas J. Campion", Docket No. 33, p. 5, 1. 9-15). Nevertheless, Plaintiff/Class Representative failed to give notice of his Motion to Lien Claimant, notwithstanding that his Motion seeks the approval of an incentive award to be paid to PATRICK GRANNAN that is subject to Lien Claimant's lien.

Furthermore, Plaintiff's Motion failed to comply with Bankruptcy Local Rule 9014-1(b)(3)(A)(i), which requires all motions to state conspicuously, inter alia, "that any objection to the requested relief, or a request for a hearing on the matter, must be filed and served upon the initiating party within 21 days of mailing of the notice."

/// /// .1

20 | 21

Since Plaintiff did not serve Lien Claimant with a copy of his Motion, nor did his Motion comply with Bankruptcy Local Rule 9014-1(b)(3)(A)(i), it is respectfully requested that this Court consider Lien Claimant's late-filed Objection.

II.

LIEN CLAIMANT OBJECTS TO THE PROPOSED SETTLEMENT TO THE EXTENT THAT IT HAS FILED A LIEN IN THE INSTANT ACTION AGAINST PLAINTIFF/CLASS REPRESENTATIVE PATRICK GRANNAN.

As noted above, Lien Claimant has filed a lien in the instant action, as well as an Objection to the proposed Settlement with the Clerk of the Court. Since the proposed Final Judgment and Order of Dismissal with Prejudice (Docket No. 38) includes a \$5,000.00 incentive award to be paid to PATRICK GRANNAN that is subject to Lien Claimant's lien, it is respectfully requested that if the proposed Judgment is granted, it should first be modified so that the \$5,000.00 incentive payment should instead be paid to Lien Claimant Cardservice International, Incorporated, a California corporation, in care of its Attorneys of Record, Herzlich & Blum, LLP.

///

///

///

///

III.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons set forth above, it is respectfully requested that if this Court is disposed to grant Plaintiff's Motion, it should require the proposed Final Judgment and Order of Dismissal with Prejudice to be modified as specified in Section II above.

DATED: October 12, 2011

Respectfully submitted, Herzlich & Blum, LLP

By:

Jerome J. Blum

Attorneys for Lien Claimant

SERVICE LIST

Patrick Grannan 5319 University Drive, #309 Irvine, CA 92612

PLAINTIFF/CLASS REPRESENTATIVE

Douglas James Campion, Esq. Law Offices of Douglas J. Campion 409 Camino Del Rio South, Ste. 303 San Diego, CA 92108

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF/CLASS REPRESENTATIVE

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

Patrick Grannan, et al. v. Alliant Law Group, P.C. U.S. District Court Case No. 10-02803-HRL

I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. My business address is: 15760 Ventura Blvd., Suite 2024, Encino, CA 91436.

On October 13, 2011, I served the foregoing document described as OBJECTION TO MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT, INCENTIVE PAYMENT; MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT THEREOF on the interested parties in this action as follows:

by placing ___ the original _X_ a true and correct copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope addressed as stated on the attached service list:

X BY MAIL I deposited each such envelope in the mail at Encino, California. I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice, it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at Encino, California, in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

by hand to the office of the addressee, as indicated on the attached service list.

BY FACSIMILE I caused a true and correct copy of the within document to be transmitted by facsimile transmission, which was reported as complete and without error, to the address and telecopy number as indicated on the attached service list.

OVERNITE EXPRESS FEDERAL EXPRESS UPS

I caused such envelope to be deposited, in accordance with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for overnight delivery 2-day delivery at the address(es) indicated on the attached service list.

Executed on October 13, 2011, at Encino, California.

I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this Court at whose direction the service was made.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Richard Contreras